Generated: 2 November, 202

2011 Rules change Proposal HP limit 2011-8

Posted by SvoChuck - 04 Nov 2010 22:28

proposal 2011-8)

Dyno Max HP limit 142.0 = (hp+tq)/2 on a Dynojet. Pulls done in 4th gear, SAE net corrections Smoothing factor (TBD). Rear tires and wheels must be legal during runs. - (Note other classes that use dyno's for limit will be consulted to validate all the dyno nuances to limit variablity.)

Justification: Prevent expanding the performance enevelop beyond the current demonstrated limit. This is being proposed as an upper limit to ensure future builds do not exceed the current performance levels. It is understood that continuing minor gains might increase effective hp output levels of the class. These minor gains while small in indivdualy can add up to noticeable gains. Such minor issues may also negatively impact the relaiblty of both engines an chassis. This overall limit will help to reduce the drive to make modificaions that sarafice reliablity for minor hp gains. Items such as super light weight engine and gear oils may increase wheel hp, but at the expense of reliablity.

Re: 2011 Rules change Proposal HP limit 2011-8 Posted by Sterling Doc - 06 Nov 2010 06:39

Litespeeds wrote:

Is there any way to set up a minimum car/driver weight with maximum HP scale? Let's say you can cap the maximum HP to 145hp but that car will have to carry extra weight so the minimum after a race will be 2.750 lbs car and driver?

Is there a ratio that will be fair for horsepower and weight? For each 1 hp, you need to put on 10 lbs?

That means if you have a car that dynos only 130hp, you can drop your car down to 2,600 lbs.

This could be done for those who race locally as everyone could dyno their car on the same day and place but how would you do that for visitors? I think this new change would be very difficult to enforce.

This has been discussed, but adds a lot of cost and complexity.

Generated: 2 November, 2025, 16:31

The guys shooting for the podium will dyno with or without this rule, the budget guys won't (and won't need to), unless they get a free one through rules compliance process. I don't see this changing much, if at all from this rule. One side benefit, is that more racers will get dynos paid for by NASA. NASA has balked at putting spec cars on the dyno (at their cost) when there is nothing in our rules set that references a dyno. This is not a reason to do this in and of itself, but a nice side benefit.

The series directors, together, have been involved in building and dyno testing dozens of motors in the last 8+ years. We have a pretty good data set about what a well built motor should develop, and the dyno variation we've seen. We are concerned about some of the HP enhancing methods we're now seeing. We are listening to the concerns out there, on *both* sides of this debate, and working on the least intrusive, yet effective change to address this issue, hence the evolution of the proposed changes.

Again, this is not a power/wt. series like GTS. No need to dyno if you're building a good, standard motor. You won't get caught out at this cap. If you are going to spend the money to really push the envelope, you are already dyno testing your motor to tune it. I see little if any *necessary* added cost with this proposal, and this was a critical point in arriving at this compromise.

JB3, your points are well taken, and probably the best argument weighing against this. This is still a proposal, though one with a lot of thought behind it. I'd like to formally hear from some of the guys who have been vocal on these boards about their concerns about the motors out there. *Does this proposal address your concerns to a reasonable degree?*

Re: 2011 Rules change Proposal HP limit 2011-8

Posted by rd7839 - 06 Nov 2010 08:23

Nobody in my region needs to be dyno'd, does anybody think there's somebody in theirs that does? If the answer is no, then who is this rule targeting? All this rule does is add cost and divides the regions. The motor that pulled 145 was ten hp more than anybody else that day. How does SAE correction fix that? If I pull 144 now what? Do I have to rebuild, or get a new computer? New intake or exhaust? Mo money, mo money! The fast guys will still win and the budget guys will go home!

Come on guys, our ruleset is fine, lets not tinker with success! This bickering is souring my experience at nationals. I met alot of great guys and look forward to racing with them again some day. I did hear there was some bellyaching about the have and have nots but I didn't think they were serious. I saw nothing but talent up front, those that deserved to be there were!

Re: 2011 Rules change Proposal HP limit 2011-8 Posted by cbuzzetti - 06 Nov 2010 11:31
So-Cal is Racing this weekend and were planning on sitting down tonight to discuss all proposals and take a head count to see where we are as a group.
Will report later.
I am with you Ron Dale.
This is not an issue in So-Cal.
Re: 2011 Rules change Proposal HP limit 2011-8 Posted by cbuzzetti - 06 Nov 2010 20:11
The following poll was conducted to see if there was a trend or consensus about the proposed rules.
Some of the results are from opinions stated on this forum and some from the So-Cal drivers.
Proposed rules 2011-1 (3 piece crossmember) 12 for/ 6 opposed
2011-2 (Larger Jack pad reinforcement) 16 for/ 2 opposed
2011-3 (924s GT Flares) 5 for/ 13 opposed
2011-4 (lexan rear qtr windows) 8 for/ 10 opposed
2011-5 (fog light opening clarification) 10 for/ 1 opposed

944-SPEC - 944SPEC - low cost wheel to wheel racing